

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-47 were pending of which Claims 1-6, 32 and 33 Claims 7-14 and 34-38 were objected to and Claims 15-31 and 39-47 were allowed. Claims 1, 8, 10, 11, 32, and 35-38 have been amended and Claims 7 and 34 have been cancelled.

Specifically, Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of original Claim 7. Thus this amendment is supported by original Claims 1 and 7.

Claims 8, 10, and 11 have been amended to depend from Claim 1 instead of cancelled Claim 7. These amendments are supported by original claims 8, 10, and 11.

Claim 32 has been amended to include the limitations of original Claim 34. Thus, this amendment is supported by original Claims 32 and 34.

Claims 35-38 are amended to depend from Claim 32 instead of cancelled Claim 34. These amendments are supported by original Claims 35-38.

The Examiner objected to Claims 7-14 and 34-38 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of Claim 7 and Claim 32 has been amended to include the limitation of Claim 34. Thus, the scope of Claims 1 and 34 has not been narrowed as compared to original Claims 7 and 34.

No new matter has been added in the claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-6 and 32-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward et al. (US Patent No. 6,061,100) in view of Lim et al. (US Patent No. 6,606,126). However the

Examiner also indicated that Claims 7-14 and 34-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Although Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's rejection of Claims 1-6 and 32-33, Applicant has decided to amend to claims to include limitations of objected to dependent claims to bring this case to issuance and may choose to file a continuation with original claims 1-6 and 32-33 at a later date.

Therefore, Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of Claim 7. Thus Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1 is allowable. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 1. Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-6 and 8-14, which depend from Claim 1 are likewise allowable.

Claim 32 has been amended to include the limitation of Claim 34. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 32 is allowable. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 32. Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 33 and 35-38, which depend from Claim 32 are likewise allowable.

#### Allowed Claims

The Examiner stated that Claims 15-31 and 39-47 are allowed. Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's finding of allowable subject matter.

**Conclusion**

Claims 1-6, 8-33, and 35-47 remain pending. Claims 15-31 and 39-47 are allowed. For the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request allowance of Claims 1-6, 8-14, 32, 33, and 35-38. Should the Examiner have any questions concerning this response, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (408) 857-0559.

Respectfully submitted,

*Edward Mao*

Edward S. Mao  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 40,713

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS"**

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the below date.

*Edward Mao*

Edward S. Mao

November 9, 2007

Date